

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/678,519 | 10/03/2000 | Randy Gray Simmons | 17498 | 5365 |
| 759 | 90 04/22/2003 | | | |
| Tyco Technology Resources 4550 New Linden Hill Road Suite 450 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DINH, TUAN T | |
| Wilmington, DE 19808-2952 | | | ADTUBLE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2827 | |
| * | | | DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| Notice of Abandonment | 09/678,519 | SIMMONS ET AL. |
| The state of Albandonnicing | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Tuan T Dinh | 2827 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | and a property of the second s |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, | failing or Transmission dated) month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the expiration of the |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (| n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an | nendment which places the |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6 | ite a proper reply, or a hona fide atter | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | , | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | 0). | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pe Allowance (PTOL-85). | received on (with a Certifica eriod for payment of the issue fee (an | te of Mailing or Transmission dated d publication fee) set in the Notice o |
| (b) \square The submitted fee of $_$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T | he publication fee, if required by 37 (| CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | t been received. | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | | eriod set in, the Notice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trans | smission dated), which is |
| (b) \square No corrected drawings have been received. | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | attorney or agent of record, the assig | gnee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a represe | entative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | nce rendered on and because as. | the period for seeking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | |
| Mr. Stephen J. Driscoll response a phone call that in | SU | AMAND CUNEO PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdrawninimize any negative effects on patent term. | the holding of abandonment under 37 Cl | FR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |